John Se Nach-cv-September 54, 2006 ment 57-6 Filed 01/19/200 Filed Systems vs. Unisys

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

Pages 1-227 Exhibits: 118-122

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-CV-11610-RGS

VISIBLE SYSTEMS CORPORATION, Plaintiff

UNISYS CORPORATION, Defendant.

DEPOSITION OF JOHN G. NASH, taken on behalf of the Defendant, pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Carol A. Fierimonte, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public within and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, (8134693), at the Offices of Sugarman, Rogers, Barshak & Cohen, P.C., 101 Merrimac Street, Boston, Massachusetts, on Thursday, September 14, 2006, commencing at 10:10 a.m.

> SHEA COURT REPORTING SERVICES (617) 227-3097

WITNESS DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS

JOHN G. NASH

By Mr. Boesch 4

EXHIBITS

NO.		PAGE
118	Web printout	21
119	Web printout	57
120	Folders List	101
121	Web printout	144
122	Web printout	150

## APPEARANCES

JOSEPH E. RENDINI, ESQUIRE Medford, Massachusetts 02155 On behalf of the Plaintiff

SUGARMAN, ROGERS, BARSHAK & COHEN, P.C. 101 Merrimac Street

## PROCEEDINGS September 14, 2006

IT IS HERBBY AGREED AND STIPULATED by and between counsel for the respective parties that all objections, except as to form, and motions to strike will be reserved until the time of trial or pretrial hearing.

It is further stipulated that the witness will read and sign the deposition under the pains and penalties of perjury. Reading and signing is deemed waived if not read and signed within 30 days of transcript delivery. Filing of the deposition is waived.

JOHN G. NASH,

having first shown proper identification and having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BOESCH:

Q. Good morning, Mr. Nash. We met off the record, but let me reintroduce myself. I am William Boesch, one of the lawyers for

By: William I. Boesch, Esquire Boston, Massachusetts 02114 On behalf of the Defendant

Filed 01/19/200 isiple Systems vs. Unisys Joh@ase Na.04-cv. Sept 400 RGS4, Bocument 57-6

6

7

9

10

11

12

22

3

12

Q. Would it help you to look at the chronology that is contained on the company's website?

A. Sure.

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

18

19

MR. BOESCH: Why don't we mark this as the next exhibit. This is going 6 to be Emhibit No. 118.

(Document marked as Emhibit No. 118 for identification.)

Q. Let me hand you what we have marked as Enhibit No. 118 for identification. And first of all, let me ash you whether you recognize that as a page from the company's current website.

(Witness perusing document.)

16 A. Yes.

Q. And does the chronology there help you to 17 place in time the merger with IESC? 18

A. Yes. 19 --19

O. That was indeed in 1997, right? 20

A. Yes, it was. 21

O. That was before you returned to the 22

23 company?

A. Yes. About sim months before. 24

Q. Well, are you suggesting that 1

2 Mr. Finkelstein was somebody with a 3 background in business or management 4 consulting?

5 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. What was his background in that

area?

A. From what I understand of his, of his background, his roots come from IBM. And then after he left, he left IBM, he developed this, this methodology from the

late or early '80's up until now.

13 O. Other than the fact that he came from IBM, 14 do you have any other information about 15 Mr. Finkelstein's background or empertise, 16 if any, in business or management

17 consulting?

A. The only thing I know is that -- well, two 18 19 things. Our developers over the years 20 relied on Clive as a, as a really mentor to help them to embody the methodology in

21 22 our technology. And we did, the company

23 did work with Clive on a number of 24

engagements around the world over that

Q. And you were starting to tell us, I think,

about the change or how that, how that merger was connected with 2.5 million in

revenue that you described as

consulting/software.

A. Yes. The merger with IESC brought us a 6 new way to look at the marketplace because 7 IESC developed a product called IE 8

Advantage, which later on after the merger

became Visible Advantage. And along with

that company came many years of consulting and development that they had done that is 12

based on Clive Finkelstein's Information 13

14 Engineering methodology. He was really

the first methodologist to come up with a 15 complete holistic methodology, versus just 16

pieces and parts of the development 17

lifecycle that earlier individuals had

come up with.

O. And by development lifecycle, you mean the 20

21 lifecycle for software development?

22 A. Software and systems development. Q. Systems meaning computer systems? 23

A. Meaning any type of systems.

time period from '95 onward. 1

> Q. What is Mr. Finkelstein's current relationship with Visible Systems?

A. He has a -- he represents Visible Systems 4 5 in Australia. He has a non-paid position

6 of chief scientist for our company. And 7 we work in unison on projects with him

from time to time. And Clive is an active 8

author in the public, you know, the 9 10

popular publications. He writes, he has 11 written numerous books. He has just

finished a book, I don't have the title

13 with me, but with that book on his

14 methodologies he puts a CD in there that contained all of our product information 15

16 and ability to download all of our

17 software tools.

18 Q. You say that book has just been published?

19 A. Yes.

O. You don't remember its name? 20

21 A. I don't have the title here. I am sorry.

22 O. When you say that he represents Visible 23 Systems in Australia, what do you mean by

24 that? John See Na 04-Cv Septender 54, Bootument 57-6 Filed 01/19/200 Fisiple Systems vs. Unisys

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

21

22

23

24

A. Visible Systems Australia is run by Clive.

- 2 Q. And what is the business of that company?
- 3 A. The business of that company is
- 4 consulting, training and software tools.
- Q. Does he have any other business besidesVisible Systems Australia?
- 7 A. His methodology, which is, you know, that8 would be it.
- 9 Q. Does he sell any other products or
  10 services other than those associated with
  11 Visible Systems' products?
- 12 A. I don't believe so.
- 13 Q. You were talking about the merger with
  14 Mr. Finkelstein's company in 1997 and the
  15 effect that that had on Visible Systems'
  16 business. And I would like to take you
  17 back to that topic.

20 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 21 Q. Okay. And how many?
- A. Full-time consultants, I would say they
   had 12 or 14 top emperts. And one of the
   things that really intrigued us about

product, Visible Advantage, where it was developed initially, those models were converted over into Visible Analyst for use there as well as a way of storing intellectual property and reusing it.

- Q. What is the difference between the way in which the models are used by Visible Advantage and Visible Analyst?
- A. The difference --

MR. RENDINI: Objection. You can answer.

A. The difference is that Visible Advantage looks at data differently than Visible Analyst does. Visible Advantage has more sophisticated business modeling capabilities built into it; and as a result of that, we built the appropriate links to continue that development process in Visible Analyst. And just one other thing that, I don't know if it is of value to you to understand this, but Visible Analyst offers other more detailed capabilities than Visible Advantage in that it takes a look at process

26

their technology that they had developed is that their consultants over the years developed something that they called the Universal Model. And the Universal Model was a set of integrated business templates that any organization could use to develop their, their enterprise architecture. And that served as a starting point for many consulting engagements, but it also gave organizations the ability to have 80 percent of the job of creating that model for their organization done. They would fust have to tailor it to their business because, over time, our consultants found that the work that they were putting into the consulting engagements looked more and more the same.

- 18 Q. So the business templates was one of the
  19 assets that Visible Systems acquired in
  20 the merger with Mr. Finkelstein's company?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And those business templates, the companyis still using today?
- 24 A. Yes. And as a matter of fact, the

1 information and data information

- 2 simultaneously. And what that means is if 3 you have a particular process, you can
- 1 look at how the data is being input and
  2 output from that process with Visible
- 6 Analyst, so it gives you kind of a unique
- 7 view of what you are trying to, the 8 problem you are trying to solve.
  - Q. As of the time of the merger with Mr. Finkelstein's company, how many consultants did Visible Systems have on its staff?
  - A. We had at that time no consultants on our staff. They were subcontracted.
    - Q. How many contracts with outside consultants did Visible Systems have at that time?
- 18 A. You know, that I don't know. But I would 19 guess that we had relationships with seven 20 or eight different small groups.
  - Q. And is it fair to say that you would contract with them on a case-by-case basis; that is, as needed for a particular engagement you would retain an outside

28